The case			Texas Tech Red Raiders Red Raiders Lous									OVERALL: OVERALL:			SMO Mustarius												
125 126 127	TM FOE	TM FOI	E							CONF: CONF: 0-0 0-0		0-0				E FOE AP											
Part	REC REC C	CONF CON	135	134	TM			SCORE W/L				2	HOAR HAIRE		REC F	REC CO	NF CONF			TM FO			SCORE W/L				
March Marc	.		155						05 22							15	133					00 03	22 2.	3 47 23			
Part						9/12																					
Control Cont	.																										
Section Sect																											
Part 100																						-					
Control Cont																											
Part 1/1																											
11/10 11/1																											
Part 1																											
1																											
\$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac						11/28	3 at Texas																				
State Stat	.											-13	Total TO's	+14							12//	UCF					
## SPAINS PARTIES PART																										np Yds	
Stration Total Total Strategy To		ore	Yds	FD	TO's	FD RY-R	A YPC Att	Pass Sks	FD RY-RA	YPC Att	Pass Sks				Teyas			Yds	ls FD	TO's F	RY-RA	YPC Att	Pass Sks	FD RY-RA	A YPC At	t Pass Sks	
TOU TRUST TOUS SERVICE TRUST TRUST TOUS SERVICE TRU																											
## Class															Bye												
at Karasas																A&M											
Toward Signal													S			rc											
Marked M																rs											
Bye		ia										9				his											
## Teas ## Tea												3	5 e			le											
## Teas ## Tea		St										3	₽ 4	w		nati											
## Teas ## Tea												6	E E	ğ													
## Traces ## ## ## ## ## ## ##												7	ea c	ā													
Average Conference Conference	at Texas											ĺ	ğ –	st		on											
Average Conference Answ Sequence Leaders As SMU ST SMU ST SMU TCU Texas St at Every Kinglina at Ciklahoma Oklahoma St Baylor War Texas Texas ABM at Texas SM At Te												-	_ &	⊉	UCF												
Mone	Average											- 7	S S	2	Averag	ae											
Mone												,	<u> </u>	₹													
TCU					D	-1		Durchin -		D lui		. (က မြ	Ś						D!-	_		December -		D!		
Total School		lage Leader	rs		Pass	sing		Rusning		Keceivi	ing	9					ge Leaders			Passir	ig .		Rusning		Recei	ving	
Texas St at Bye) (
at Bye at Kansas lowa St lowa													_ <u> </u>		,												
R Kansas 1													š			A&M											
Formation Service Se																rs											
Temple September Septemb															_												
Secondary Seco		ia																									
Accompanies Paylor Paylo																le											
Baylor B		ot.														nati											
at Texas Tech Season Leaders 1.32 QB/WR vs DB 2.21 RB vs LB												Posi	tion by Position	n Edges													
Texas Tech Season Leaders												Texas	Tech Tech	SMU													
1.21 RB vs LB 1.34 OL vs DL	at Texas											1.32	QB/WR vs DB			on											
Last Four Years Meetings (Last 15 years at Philisteele.com) Common Foes													DD 15		UCF												
Last Four Years Meetlings (Last 15 years at PhilSteele.com) 2012 Rank Line Score FD Rush Att-Comp-Yds TO's RESULT +PTS +YDS Foe RESULT +PTS +YDS YTD: NONE 2011 Rank Line Score FD Rush Att-Comp-Yds TO's RESULT +PTS +YDS YTD: NONE 2010 Rank Line Score FD Rush Att-Comp-Yds TO's RESULT +PTS +YDS YTD: NONE 2010 Rank Line Score FD Rush Att-Comp-Yds TO's RESULT +PTS +YDS YTD: NONE 2010 Rank Line Score FD Rush Att-Comp-Yds TO's RESULT +PTS +YDS YTD: NONE 2010 Rank Line Score FD Rush Att-Comp-Yds TO's RESULT +PTS +YDS YTD: NONE 2010 Rank Line Score FD Rush Att-Comp-Yds TO's RESULT +PTS +YDS FOE RESULT +PTS +YDS FOE RESULT +PTS +YDS YTD: NONE 2010 Rank Line Score FD Rush Att-Comp-Yds TO's RESULT +PTS +YDS FOE RESULT +PTS +YDS FOE RESULT +PTS +YDS YTD: NONE 2010 Rank Line Score FD Rush Att-Comp-Yds TO's RESULT +PTS +YDS FOE RESULT +PTS +YDS FOE RESULT +PTS +YDS POE RESULT +PTS +YD	Towns Tool C											1.21	KB VS LB		Ch	C											
2011 Rank Line Score FD Rush Att-Comp-Yds TO's RESULT +PTS +YDS Foe RESU	rexas Tech Sea	ason Leade	irs									1.34	OL vs DL		SMU	season L	Leaders										
SMU has dropped 14 straight in this series. In mtg (*10) SMU trailed 34-14 then user its to 8 ges Lib vs RB 0.00 Las Vegas Total DB vs QB/WR 1.12 DB vs QB/WR 1.12 P/- Ratings Openers. Both tms have FCS teams on deck an returns 13 st'rs while SMU has just 11 a new HC SMU by 2.8 Texas Tech by 7.6 Texas Tech by 5.5 Texas Tech by 7.6 Texas Tech by												1.27	DL vs Ol		Ca	ategory		Team	n	Mai	gin		PHIL'S	FORECAST	:		
Las Vegas Total Line Score FD Rush Att-Comp-Yds To's DB vs QB/WR 1.12 Openers by 43 ppg while SMU has won their las openers by 43 ppg while SMU has won their las openers. Both tms have FCS teams on deck an returns 13 st'rs while SMU has just 11. These the strain openers. Both tms have FCS teams on deck an returns 13 st'rs while SMU has just 11. These the strain openers. Both tms have FCS teams on deck an returns 13 st'rs while SMU has just 11. These the strain openers. Both tms have FCS teams on deck an returns 13 st'rs while SMU has just 11. These the strain openers. Both tms have FCS teams on deck an returns 13 st'rs while SMU has just 11. These the strain openers. Both tms have FCS teams on deck an returns 13 st'rs while SMU has just 11. These the strain openers. Both tms have FCS teams on deck an returns 13 st'rs while SMU has just 11. These the strain openers. Both tms have FCS teams on deck an returns 13 st'rs while SMU has just 11. These the strain openers. Both tms have FCS teams on deck an returns 13 st'rs while SMU has just 11. These the strain openers. Both tms have FCS teams on deck an returns 13 st'rs while SMU has just 11. These the strain openers. Both tms have FCS teams on deck an returns 13 st'rs while SMU has just 11. These the strain openers. Both tms have FCS teams on deck an returns 13 st'rs while SMU has just 11. These the strain openers. Both tms have FCS teams on deck an returns 13 st'rs while SMU has just 11. These the strain openers. Both tms have FCS teams on deck an returns 13 st'rs while SMU has just 11. These the strain openers. Both tms have FCS teams on deck an returns 13 st'rs while SMU has just 11. These the strain openers. Both tms have FCS teams on deck an returns 13 st'rs while SMU has just 11. These the strain openers. Both tms have FCS teams on deck an returns 13 st'rs while SMU has just 11. These the strain openers. Both tms have FCS teams on deck an returns 13 st'rs while SMU has	2012	Rank Lin	e Score	FD.	Rush	Att-Comp-Yd	s TO's RESU	LT +PTS +YDS		SULT +PT	S +YDS				Las Vegas	Line			Town	Tack by	E .						
Pank Line Score FD Rush Att-Comp-Yds To's DB vs QB/WR 1.12									TID. NONE				LB vs RB	0.00					rexas	s recit by 5							
2010 Rank Line Score FD Rush Att-Compvts To's SMU 57 35 24 31-72 53-34-359 3 Expect a shootout with the game being decided to final possession.													DR vc OR (MD	1 13	Las Vegas	Total			59	9.5 Points							
2010 Rank Line Score FD Rush Att-Compvts To's SMU 57 35 24 31-72 53-34-359 3 Expect a shootout with the game being decided to final possession.	2011	Rank Lin	e Score	FD.	Rush	Att-Comp-Yd	s TO's				≺S		DD VS QB/WR	1.12	+/- Rating	ıs											
2010 Rank Line Score FD Rush Att-Computer Yeards SMU 57 35 24 31-72 53-34-359 3 Expect a shootout with the game being decided final possession.											¥	0.17	ST vs ST						SI	MU by 2.8		returns 13 st	rs while SMl	J has just :	11. These	e two teams	
2010 Rank Line Score FD Rush Att-Computer Yeards SMU 57 35 24 31-72 53-34-359 3 Expect a shootout with the game being decided final possession.											Ž				Game Grad	de			Texas	s Tech by 7	.6	run pass hap	py offenses a	and TT has	a new H	C	
SMU 57 35 24 31-72 53-34-359 3 Texas Tech -13.5 27 18 27-109 38-21-218 -3 Expect a shootout with the game being decided final possession.	2010	Rank Lin	e Score	FD	Rush	Att-Comp-Y	ds TO's				4		K vs K	0.55	Computer	Vardo											
Texas Tech -13.5 27 18 27-109 38-21-218 -3											Σ		Coaching Staf	f 2.25	computer	ıaıus			Texas	Tech 450-3	85			e game be	ıng decid	iea on the	
	Texas Tech	-13.	.5 27	18	27-109	38-21-2	18 -3				ĕ				Computer	Points			T	a Took 200	10	mai possessi	UII.				
5.32 Total 3.93	2009	Rank Line	e Score	. FD	Rush	Att-Comp-Yd	s TO's					5.32	Total	3.93					Texas	s rech 34-2	68		=×46 ==				
Back to Table of Contents Positional Edge To: Toyor Total Ry 1 20			23310					ack to Tr	able of Co	nton	to		Positional Edge	To:	Phil Steele	!			Texa	s Tech by	3	Т	EXAS TE	CH 31 S	MU 28	3	
Texas Tech Bv 1.39	L							Back to 1	able of Co	ancan	19																