Missouri Tigers Tigers Logs												OVEF 2:		RALL:	Indiana Hoosiers														
													co		NF:	Hoosiers Logs													
TM FOE TM FOE	TM + /- F	OE AI	,					TEA		PS RAN		OE	0			TM FOE			FOE	AP					Т Т	EAM	PS R		FOE
REC REC CONF CON	141	-/- TM	FOE DATE	Murray :		58-14	W/L W	30	63 S	ST C	OFF DEF	ST		NCAA RANKING: CURRENT RANK		REC REC 0-0	O-0	131	+/-	TM FOE	8/29	FOE Indiana St	73-35	W/L	33	DEF 81	ST	OFF D	EF ST
1-0 0-1 0-0 0-0		29	9/7	Toledo	Ji	38-23		23	61 2	25	35 107	2				1-0 0-0	0-0 0-0	131	BYE		9/7	Navy	35-41		38	80	58	82 12	22 75
2-0 0-0	141		9/14	Bye				28	56 2	25			Miss		liana -	1-1 2-0	0-0 1-0	128	140		9/14	Bowling Gree			34	82	58		
2-0 2-1 0-0 0-0			9/21	at Indiana				23	51 2	21	29 83	65	#18	Rush Off	#32	2-1 2-0	0-0 0-0	133	141		9/21	Missouri			29	83	65	23 5	1 21
2-1 1-0 1-2 0-2		32 43	9/28 10/5	Arkansa at Vanderb			$\overline{}$						#42	Pass Off Total Off	#12	2-1	0-0		144		9/28 10/5	Bye Penn St			_				
1-2 0-2	1	52	10/3	at Georgia				-					#10	Score Off	#6	3-0	0-0		140		10/12	at Michigan St							
1-1 0-0		42	10/19	Florida									#69	Sks Allowed	#43	3-0	0-0		146		10/19	at Michigan							
2-1 1-1		47	10/26	S Caroli									#39	Rush Def	#111						10/26	Bye							
2-1 0-0		35	11/2	Tenness									#60	Pass Def	#24	3-0	0-0		132		11/2	Minnesota							
1-2 0-0	1	30	11/9 11/16	at Kentuck Bve	.у					_			#44	Total Def Score Def	#77 #82	2-1 2-1	0-0 0-0		130 150		11/9	Illinois at Wisconsin			_				
3-0 1-0	1	57	11/23	at Mississip	ppi								#17	Sks By	#25	3-0	0-0		152		11/23	at Ohio St							
2-1 0-1		54	11/30	Texas A									#84	Ypg Diff	#28	1-2	0-0		122		11/30	Purdue							
		_											+4	Total TO's	-2														
	N.	et	Offe	nse	Comp	Yds			efense	C	omp Yds				_				Net		Offens	e Com	p Yds		_	Defense		Comp Yo	ie
Score	Yds F	D TO's			Att	Pass	Sks				Att Pass					So	ore	Yds	FD	TO's FD		YPC Att	Pass	Sks	FD			Att Pa	
Murray St 58-14			33 45-358	8.0	28-42	336	1	16 36	5-123 3	3.4 23	3-39 174	3	1			Indiana St	73-	5 326	14	-1 29	54-313	5.8 17-3	0 319	0	15	39-131	3.4	12-32 17	75 4
Toledo 38-23	-3	5 2	23 47-172	2 3.7	16-25	212	4	17 23	3-125 5	5.4 26	5-41 262	0		10		Navy	35		-2	-1 25	26-118	4.5 31-4		0	27	70-444		2-5 7	
Bye			└											l S	_	Bowling Gr	een 42-	0 192	1	0 25	48-266	5.5 17-2	6 335	4	24	36-136	3.8	28-51 27	73 2
at Indiana			——				\longrightarrow						ł	Ď	-	Missouri		+							-				
Arkansas St at Vanderbilt							-+						ł	ַ קֿיַ	-	Bye Penn St		_							_				
at Georgia			-				-+						i		-	at Michigan S		_							_				
Florida							-						i	, IŠ	- 7	at Michigan													
S Carolina													١.	ا≯ا م	ers	Bye													
Tennessee														<u>"</u> <u>-</u>	<u></u>	Minnesota													
at Kentucky			——				\longrightarrow							Team /	-	Illinois													
Bye	ļ		——				\longrightarrow							ומו ב		at Wisconsin									-				
at Mississippi Texas A&M	+		⊢—				$-\!\!\!\!-\!\!\!\!+$						F		0 -	et Ohio St Purdue		+							-				
TEXUS AUT	1		\vdash				+						•	- න	I -	ruruue													
				-										S S															
Average 48-19			28 46-265		65.7%	274					.3% 218			5 🖺	ndiana	Avera					43-232	5.4 65.7		4.0%					73 8.0%
Conferen 0-0		0.0		0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%				.0% 0			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		rence 0		0.0	0.0 0	0-0	0.0 0.09		0.0%		0-0	0.0		
Away 0-0	0.0 0	0.0		0.0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0-0 0		.0% 0	0.0%		Leade	≓ -	Home			4.3	-0.7 26	43-232	5.4 65.7			22	48-237		17.7% 17	73 8.0%
By Game Yardage Leaders	James Frai		assing	-38-318-3-0	Henry Jose	Rushin		7.1 0.4	reen-Beck	Receiv		-20.8-0	3	- ŏ	ĕ F	By Game Ya Indiana St	dage Leadei	Nate Su	الماط	Passing	12 17	219-4-1 Tevin C	Rush oleman		12.1.2	Ted Bolser		ceiving	6-78-13.0-2
Murray St Toledo	lames Frai			-25-212-1-1	lames Fran		17-77-4		Washingto			-15.6-0	_		- -	Navy		Nate St				363-4-1 Nate S			5-5.8-0	Kofi Huah			-102-17.0-1
Bye	Junies mai			EU LIL I I	Junies Fran			3 0 2 2	Washinger	J.1.	3 70	15.0 0	1	>	-	Bowling Gr	een	Nate Su					n Houston			Cody Latir			-137-22.8-1
at Indiana							·							eekly		Missouri													
Arkansas St								\rightarrow						Q		Bye													
at Vanderbilt	ļ							$-\!\!\!\!-$						اع	-	Penn St at Michigan Si													
at Georgia Florida	+			\longrightarrow				$-\!$								at Michigan Si at Michigan		+				-			-				
S Carolina	†			\longrightarrow				-							_	Bye		+											
Tennessee								\neg							-	Minnesota		+											
at Kentucky																Illinois													
Bye								工						tion by Position I															
at Mississippi								$-\!\!-$					Miss	ouri Inc	liana a	at Ohio St													
Texas A&M	 			\longrightarrow	$\overline{}$			+					1.56	QB/WR vs DB	-	Purdue		+					— (ii)	IGH	SC	DRE	PO	W_	
					$\overline{}$			-										_											
Missouri Season	James Frai	ıklin	42-	63-530-4-1	Henry Jose	y	22-139-б	.3-3 D (reen-Beck	cham	9-134	-14.9-1	0.77	RB vs LB				Nate Su	dfeld		60-85-9	17-10-2 Tevin C	oleman	41-33	2-8.1-5	Cody Latir	ner	11	-210-19.1-1
Leaders	Maty Mauk				Rus Hansbr	rough	18-135-7	.5-2 L'D	Washingto	on		-13.8-1	1.49	OL vs DL		Indiana Sea	son Leade				3-	7-71-2-0 Stephe	n Houston			Kofi Hugh			-205-15.8-3
	C Berkstre				James Fran	ıklin	23-121-5	.3-0 Ma	cus Lucas		7-6	1-8.7-0	11.15	02 13 52					n Coffm			7-29-0-1 Anthon	y Davis			Shane Wy	nn	9	-185-20.6-3
Last Five Years Me 2012 Rank Line			s at PhilSteel Att-Comp-Yds				Pr	oiect	ed Box	Sco	re		0.06	DL vs OL	ļ .	Catego	ry	Team		Marg	Jin	Dath of th			nil's Fore		11-6-61-1		MAC To all a
ZUIZ KANK LINE	s Score i	υ κush	ALC-COMP-Yds	, 10 8	- الم			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					<u> </u>			as Vegas Lir	ie		Mics	ouri By 3		Both of these							
					4			Drois	ted Rus	hine			l	LB vs RB	0.48				MISS	ouri by 3		recovered fro							
				s TO's	0	25	20					169			<u> </u>	as Vegas To	al		71	Points		school record							
2011 Bank 1:	· France '	D Buch		. 105	е	22	-5	Misso		Indiar	ıa .	109	l	DB vs QB/WR	1.33				/1	FUIILS		was the first t							
2011 Rank Line	Score F	D Rush	Att-Comp-Yd	Ŀ				-roje	cted Pas	isıng Indiar		335				+/- Ratings			Mina	ıri By 5.00	,	an off td. BG	HC Claws	on said	rne WR	C WORD I		able and	
2011 Rank Line	Score I	D Rush	Att-Comp-Yd.		ΒŚ								i .		_	•			MISSO	JII BV 5.00	,								
					rabl nts	25		Misso				,,,,	1.65	ST vs ST						,			(Sudfeld a		ypg, 70	0% w/a	10-2 ra	tio) but	
			Att-Comp-Yds	s TO's	o Tabl tents		Pr	Misso ojected	l Yardag	ge Tot	al		1.65	ST vs ST		Game Grade			T			make this offe	ense so po	otent is	ypg, 70 a new f	0% w/a : ound rus	10-2 ra sh attao	tio) but ck which	saw 2
				; TO's	to Tabl	25 48	Pr 82	Misso ojecteo Misso	i Yardag uri	ge Tot Indiar	al ia 5	503	1.65	K vs K	0.31	Game Grade			India	na By 9.39		make this offer players top 10	ense so po 00 yds wit	otent is th dynar	ypg, 70 a new f nic sopl	0% w/a : ound rus h Tevin 0	10-2 ra sh attac Colema	tio) but ck which n avg 8.	saw 2 1 ypc with
2010 Rank Line	e Score F	FD Rush	Att-Comp-Yds		n to Tabl Contents	48	Pr 82 F	Misso ojecteo Misso Projecto	i Yardag uri ed Final	ge Tot Indiar Score	al na 5	503	1.65		0.31	Game Grade Computer Ya	rds			na By 9.39		make this offer players top 10 5 td's. Tigers	ense so po 00 yds wit are off a	otent is th dynar bye and	ypg, 70 a new f nic sopl a comf	0% w/a : ound rus h Tevin 0 ortable 3	10-2 ra sh attao Colema 38-23 v	tio) but k which n avg 8. vin vs To	saw 2 1 ypc with ledo but
	e Score F	FD Rush			urn to Tabl Contents		Pr 82 F 3	Misso ojecteo Misso Projecto Misso	i Yardag uri ed Final uri	ge Tot Indiar Score Indiar	i al na 5 e na				0.31		rds				3	make this offer players top 10 5 td's. Tigers a closer look	ense so po 00 yds wit are off a shows the	otent is th dynar bye and ey were	ypg, 70 a new f nic sopl a comf outgain	0% w/a : ound rus h Tevin (ortable : ed, were	10-2 ra sh attac colema 38-23 v e +2 in	tio) but ck which n avg 8. vin vs To TO's and	saw 2 1 ypc with ledo but d were
2010 Rank Line	e Score F	FD Rush	Att-Comp-Yds		eturn to Tabl Contents	48	Pr 82 F 3 Te	Misso ojecteo Misso Projecto Misso am Sch	d Yardag uri ed Final uri nedule S	ge Tot Indiar Score Indiar treng	tal na 5 e na th	503 38		K vs K	0.31				Indiar	na By 9.39	3	make this offer players top 10 5 td's. Tigers a closer look sonly up 24-23	ense so po 00 yds wit are off a shows the 3 in the 30	otent is th dynar bye and ey were Q. I'm g	ypg, 70 a new f nic sopl a comf outgain oing wit	0% w/a : ound rus h Tevin (ortable : ed, were	10-2 ra sh attac colema 38-23 v e +2 in	tio) but ck which n avg 8. vin vs To TO's and	saw 2 1 ypc with ledo but d were
2010 Rank Line 2009 Rank Line	e Score F	FD Rush	Att-Comp-Yds	s TO's	Return to Tabl Contents	48	Pr 82 F 3 Te	Misso ojecteo Misso Projecto Misso am Sch Misso	d Yardag uri ed Final uri nedule S uri	ge Tot Indiar Score Indiar Ireng Indiar	al na 5 e na th na	503	1.40	K vs K	0.31	Computer Ya			Indiar	na By 9.39	3	make this offer players top 10 5 td's. Tigers a closer look	ense so po 00 yds wit are off a shows the 3 in the 30	otent is th dynar bye and ey were Q. I'm g	ypg, 70 a new f nic sopl a comf outgain oing wit	0% w/a : ound rus h Tevin (ortable : ed, were	10-2 ra sh attac colema 38-23 v e +2 in	tio) but ck which n avg 8. vin vs To TO's and	saw 2 1 ypc with ledo but d were
2010 Rank Line 2009 Rank Line	e Score F	FD Rush	Att-Comp-Yds	s TO's	Return to Tabl Contents	48 4: 6:	Pr 82 F 3 Te	Misso ojected Misso Projected Misso am Sch Misso Experie	d Yardag uri ed Final uri nedule S uri ence Rar	ge Tot Indiar Score Indiar Streng Indiar nkings	ral na 5 na th na s	503 38 9	1.40	K vs K Coaching Staff Total	2.12	Computer Ya			Indiar	na By 9.39 na 503-48 nuri 43-38	3	make this offer players top 10 5 td's. Tigers a closer look only up 24-23 this one and l	ense so po 00 yds wit are off a shows the 5 in the 30 ook for a	otent is th dynar bye and ey were Q. I'm g lot of pt	ypg, 70 a new f mic sopl a comf outgain oing wit	0% w/a if ound rus h Tevin (fortable if ed, were the house the house it is not a second to the house it is not a second in the	10-2 rash attac Colema 38-23 v e +2 in ome un	tio) but ck which n avg 8. vin vs To TO's and derdog I	saw 2 1 ypc with ledo but d were
2010 Rank Line 2009 Rank Line	e Score F	FD Rush	Att-Comp-Yds	s TO's	Return to Tabl Contents	48	Pr 82 F 3 Te	Misso ojecteo Misso Projecto Misso am Sch Misso	d Yardag uri ed Final uri nedule S uri ence Rar	ge Tot Indiar Score Indiar Ireng Indiar	ral na 5 na th na s	503 38	1.40 6.93	K vs K Coaching Staff	0.31 - (2.12 - (Computer Ya			Indiar	na By 9.39	3	make this offer players top 10 5 td's. Tigers a closer look only up 24-23 this one and l	ense so po 00 yds wit are off a shows the 3 in the 30	otent is th dynar bye and ey were Q. I'm g lot of pt	ypg, 70 a new f mic sopl a comf outgain oing wit	0% w/a if ound rus h Tevin (fortable if ed, were the house the house it is not a second to the house it is not a second in the	10-2 rash attac Colema 38-23 v e +2 in ome un	tio) but ck which n avg 8. vin vs To TO's and derdog I	saw 2 1 ypc with ledo but d were